REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1, 3-11, and 21-23 are pending. By this Amendment, claims 1, 3-5, 7-8, and 10-11 are amended, claims 21-23 are added, and claims 2 and 12-20 are canceled without prejudice or disclaimer. No new matter is added. Support for the claims can be found throughout the specification, including the original claims and the drawings. Withdrawal of the rejections in view of the above amendments and the following remarks is respectfully requested.

The Examiner is thanked for the indication that claims 2-4 and 8 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the features of the base claim and any intervening claims. The allowable features of claim 2 have been added to independent claim 1, and claim 2 has been canceled. Accordingly, independent claim 1 should be in condition for allowance, along with claims 3-4 and 6-8, which depend therefrom. Further, dependent claims 3, 4, and 8 have been rewritten in independent form as added independent claims 21, 22, and 23, respectively. Accordingly, claims 21, 22, and 23 should be in condition for allowance.

The Office Action rejected claims 1, 5-7, and 9-12 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) over Lee et al. (hereinafter "Lee"), U.S. Patent Publication No. 2004/0182856. It has been assumed for the purpose of this reply that the examiner intended to reject claims 1, 5-7, and 9-20 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e), based on the text of this rejection. As noted above, claims 12-20 have been canceled, and thus, this rejection is moot with respect to claims 12-20. The rejection of claims 1, 5-7, and 9-11 is moot in view of the amendments discussed above. Accordingly, this rejection should be withdrawn.

Amdt. dated <u>December 2, 2010</u>

Reply to Office Action of August 2, 2010

The Office Action rejected claims 12-15 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) over Kim et al., U.S. Patent 6,521,875. As noted above, claims 12-15 have been canceled, and thus, this rejection is moot and should be withdrawn.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that the application is in condition for allowance. Favorable consideration and prompt allowance are earnestly solicited.

If the Examiner believes that any additional changes would place the application in better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned attorney at the telephone number listed below.

Docket No. HI-0276

Serial No. **10/575,858** Amdt. dated December 2, 2010

Reply to Office Action of August 2, 2010

To the extent necessary, a petition for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 is hereby made. Please charge any shortage in fees due in connection with the filing of this, concurrent and future replies, including extension of time fees, to Deposit Account 16-0607 and please credit any excess fees to such deposit account.

Respectfully submitted,

KED & ASSOCIATES, LLP

Carol L. Druzbiek

Registration No. 40,287

P.O. Box 221200

Chantilly, Virginia 20153-1200

(703) 766-3777 CLD:sc/gs/pb

Date: December 2, 2010 \\Fk4\Documents\2019\2019-823\257645.doc

Please direct all correspondence to Customer Number 34610